	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/017,221	LEWIS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753
All Participants: Status of Application: non-final		
(1) <u>ALEX NOGUEROLA</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Joseph Baker</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 September 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: all pending enablement rejections		
Claims discussed: 16,14,11		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The examiner proposed an amendment to limit the scope of the co		
sensor types and similar sensor types. No agreement was reached		milai analytes and disclosed
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte cord of the substance of the	n summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Olh Nozeerola		
(Examiner/SPE/Signature) (Applicant/)	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)